


DISTRICT DIRECTOR:

Glen H. Geurts

SERVING:

Combined Locks
Kaukauna
Kimberly
Little Chute
Darboy S.D.



COMMISSIONERS:

David J. Casper, President
Bruce M. Siebers, Vice-Pres.
Kevin P. Coffey, Secretary
Patrick E. Hennessey
John W. Sundelius

Heart of the Valley
METROPOLITAN SEWERAGE DISTRICT

801 THILMANY ROAD
KAUKAUNA, WISCONSIN 54130
(920) 766-5731 FAX (920) 766-5733
www.hvmsd.org

June 6, 2016

District Commissioners and District Director

Heart of the Valley Metropolitan Sewerage District

Gentlemen;

The State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 2015 "Compliance Maintenance Annual Report" (CMAR) preparation has been completed. Please review the document, ask any questions, and be prepared to accept the Document, by resolution, at the June Commission meeting.

In summary, regulatory compliance in year 2015 was good. A grade of "A" was received in eight of the reporting categories and a grade of "B" in the Bio-solids Quality. The plant exceeded 80% of the ceiling limit in October when the number came in at 70.6 for Molybdenum, the ceiling limit is 75. A total of 10 points were accessed for exceeding 80% of the ceiling limit. No action is required of the District as a result of the grade in this year's report.

The District has maintained, and must continue to maintain adequate bank funds to cover the amount required for the "Replacement Fund Account"

Adoption of the CMAR resolution by the Commission at the June meeting, and final submittal of completed forms and resolution to the DNR will complete the process for 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kevin Skogman".

Kevin Skogman, Director of operations & Maintenance

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing
Body or Owner:

Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:

Resolution Number:

Date of Submittal:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = B

Staffing: Grade = A

Operator Certification: Grade = A

Financial Management: Grade = A

Collection Systems: Grade = A

(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 3.86

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	4.6277	x	208	x	8.34	=	8,040
February	3.7114	x	242	x	8.34	=	7,483
March	4.5764	x	207	x	8.34	=	7,886
April	6.0970	x	175	x	8.34	=	8,914
May	5.2983	x	203	x	8.34	=	8,963
June	6.5779	x	163	x	8.34	=	8,962
July	4.2735	x	211	x	8.34	=	7,511
August	4.1428	x	220	x	8.34	=	7,617
September	5.2726	x	189	x	8.34	=	8,295
October	6.0157	x	213	x	8.34	=	10,662
November	5.3698	x	188	x	8.34	=	8,421
December	7.8017	x	163	x	8.34	=	10,575

2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	x	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	11.9	x	90	=	10.71
		x	100	=	11.9
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	14651	x	90	=	13185.9
		x	100	=	14651

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	Number of times flow was greater than 90% of	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	Number of times (C)BOD was greater than 90% of design	Number of times (C)BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per each		2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Number of Points					0

0

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

3. Flow Meter

3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

No

If No, please explain:

4. Sewer Use Ordinance

4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?

Yes

No

If No, please explain:

4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?

Yes

No

If Yes, please explain:

5. Septage Receiving

5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?

Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons.

Septic Tanks

Yes gallons

No

Holding Tanks

Yes gallons

No

Grease Traps

Yes gallons

No

5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes.

6. Pretreatment

6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?

Yes

No

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

The District accepts landfill leachate from permitted sites. It is received at the septage receiving station which affords the District the same protections as described in section 5.2.1.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
February	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
March	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
April	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
May	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
June	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
July	25	22.5	7	1	0	0
August	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
September	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
October	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
November	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
December	25	22.5	6	1	0	0

* Equals limit if limit is <= 10

Months of discharge/yr	12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge		7	3
Exceedances		0	0
Points		0	0
Total number of points			0

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2. Flow Meter Calibration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2015-10-28

No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

Yes

No

If Yes, please explain:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

<p>4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>If Yes, please explain:</p> <p>_____</p> <p>4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A</p> <p>Please explain unless not applicable:</p> <p>_____</p>

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	11	1	0	0
February	30	27	10	1	0	0
March	30	27	12	1	0	0
April	30	27	9	1	0	0
May	30	27	9	1	0	0
June	30	27	9	1	0	0
July	30	27	20	1	0	0
August	30	27	21	1	0	0
September	30	27	27	1	0	1
October	30	27	28	1	0	1
November	30	27	16	1	0	0
December	30	27	13	1	0	0
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10						
Months of Discharge/yr				12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:					7	3
Exceedances					0	2
Points					0	6
Total Number of Points						6

6

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The District was experiencing difficult treatment with the Acti-flo process. We are continuing investigation into treatment modifications to correct.

Total Points Generated	6
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	94
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Weekly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average NH3 (mg/L)	Monthly Permit Limit Exceedance	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 1	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 2	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 3	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 4	Weekly Permit Limit Exceedance
January	10		.55238095	2 0					
February	10		.32	0					
March	10		.33913043	5 0					
April	11		.26818181	8 0					
May	11		.36666666	7 0					
June	4.4		.29090909	1 0					
July	4.4		.38636363	6 0					
August	4.4		.43181818	2 0					
September	4.4		.36818181	8 0					
October	18		.69523809	5 0					
November	18		.71818181	8 0					
December	18		.65909090	9 0					
Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:									10
Exceedances, Monthly:									0
Points:									0
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):									2.5
Exceedances, Weekly:									0
Points:									0
Total Number of Points									0
NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and generate points.									
1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?									

0

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	1	0.3	1	0
February	1	0.4	1	0
March	1	0.5	1	0
April	1	0.3	1	0
May	1	0.5	1	0
June	1	0.3	1	0
July	1	0.5	1	0
August	1	0.5	1	0
September	1	0.6	1	0
October	1	0.7	1	0
November	1	0.4	1	0
December	1	0.3	1	0
Months of Discharge/yr			12	
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:				10
Exceedances				0
Total Number of Points				0

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal

1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)

- Land applied under your permit
- Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids
- Hauled to another permitted facility
- Landfilled
- Incinerated
- Other

NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.

1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:

2. Land Application Site

2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites

2.1.1 How many acres did you have?

4510 acres

2.1.2 How many acres did you use?

180 acres

2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?

2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year?

- Yes (30 points)
- No

2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years?

- Yes
- No (10 points)
- N/A

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No. 003 - Liquid Sludge

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	5.2			5.1			12.4			20.7				0	0
Cadmium		39	85	.3			.68			<.57			<1.8				0	0
Copper		1500	4300	520			660			447			1230				0	0
Lead		300	840	20.3			20			17.6			79.6				0	0
Mercury		17	57	.34			.66			.68			3				0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	21.4			21.8			19.8			70.6			1		0
Nickel	336		420	27.2			24.3			22.8			117			0		0
Selenium	80		100	3			<3.5			<6.6			16.1			0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	887			1040			728			2470				0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 1

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

- 1-2 (10 Points)
 - > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- Yes
 - No (10 points)
- N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
 - N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0
- Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
 - 1 (10 Points)
 - > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
 - No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

0

4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):

4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, Contact Us.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	A
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	04/01/2015 - 06/30/2015
Density:	0
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ATAD
Process Description:	ATAD followed by SNDR followed by Storage Tank and Liquid Injection.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	A
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	10/01/2015 - 12/31/2015
Density:	0
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	ATAD
Process Description:	ATAD followed by SNDR followed by Storage Tank and Liquid Injection.

4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.

- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?
- Yes (40 Points)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No <p>If yes, what action was taken?</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	0																													
<p>5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall): 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 40%;">Outfall Number:</td><td style="text-align: center;">003</td></tr> <tr><td>Method Date:</td><td style="text-align: center;">06/30/2015</td></tr> <tr><td>Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:</td><td style="text-align: center;">INJ</td></tr> <tr><td>Requirement Met:</td><td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Land Applied:</td><td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Limit (if applicable):</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Results (if applicable):</td><td></td></tr> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 40%;">Outfall Number:</td><td style="text-align: center;">003</td></tr> <tr><td>Method Date:</td><td style="text-align: center;">12/31/2015</td></tr> <tr><td>Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:</td><td style="text-align: center;">INJ</td></tr> <tr><td>Requirement Met:</td><td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Land Applied:</td><td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Limit (if applicable):</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Results (if applicable):</td><td></td></tr> </table> <p>5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? <input type="radio"/> Yes (40 Points) <input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>If yes, what action was taken?</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>		Outfall Number:	003	Method Date:	06/30/2015	Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	Requirement Met:	Yes	Land Applied:	Yes	Limit (if applicable):		Results (if applicable):		Outfall Number:	003	Method Date:	12/31/2015	Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	Requirement Met:	Yes	Land Applied:	Yes	Limit (if applicable):		Results (if applicable):		0
Outfall Number:	003																													
Method Date:	06/30/2015																													
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ																													
Requirement Met:	Yes																													
Land Applied:	Yes																													
Limit (if applicable):																														
Results (if applicable):																														
Outfall Number:	003																													
Method Date:	12/31/2015																													
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ																													
Requirement Met:	Yes																													
Land Applied:	Yes																													
Limit (if applicable):																														
Results (if applicable):																														
<p>6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <input checked="" type="radio"/> >= 180 days (0 Points) <input type="radio"/> 150 - 179 days (10 Points) <input type="radio"/> 120 - 149 days (20 Points) <input type="radio"/> 90 - 119 days (30 Points) <input type="radio"/> < 90 days (40 Points) <input type="radio"/> N/A (0 Points) <p>6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>		0																												
<p>7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>																														

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	B

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/3/2016

2015

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

<p>1. Plant Staffing</p> <p>1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>Could use more help/staff for:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	
<p>2. Preventative Maintenance</p> <p>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes (Continue with question 2)<input type="radio"/> No (40 points) <p>If No, please explain, then go to question 3:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No (10 points) <p>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Paper file system<input type="radio"/> Computer system<input type="radio"/> Both paper and computer system<input type="radio"/> No (10 points)	0
<p>3. O&M Manual</p> <p>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No	
<p>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</p> <p>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Excellent<input checked="" type="radio"/> Very good<input type="radio"/> Good<input type="radio"/> Fair<input type="radio"/> Poor <p>Describe your rating:</p>	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 **2015**

The District used the OPS SYS Job Cal computerized maintenance management program to schedule and track routine preventative maintenance and corrective maintenance tasks. The District has developed a Total Electronic Asset Management System which was being used with OPS SYS Job Cal late in the year and will be in use for the future.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge

1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

Name: Kevin Skogman

Certification No: 25554

0

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub Class	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes		X		
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
B	Solids Separation	X			X
C	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X
P	Total Phosphorus	X			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X			X
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	NA	NA

0

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS, N and A5 not required in 2015 - 2016; subclass SS is basic level only.)

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

3. Succession Planning

3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

- One or more additional certified operators on staff
- An arrangement with another certified operator
- An arrangement with another community with a certified operator
- An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year
- A consultant to serve as your certified operator
- None of the above (20 points)

If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:

0

4. Continuing Education Credits

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/3/2016 2015

<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.○ Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.○ Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.	
---	--

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

Financial Management

<p>1. Provider of Financial Information Name: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="Kevin Skogman"/> Telephone: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="9207665731"/> (XXX) XXX-XXXX E-Mail Address (optional): <input style="width: 300px;" type="text" value="kevin.skogman@hvmsd.org"/></p>																									
<p>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes (0 points) <input type="radio"/> No (40 points) If No, please explain: <input style="width: 800px; height: 20px;" type="text"/></p> <p>2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="2015"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="radio"/> 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="radio"/> N/A (private facility)</p> <p>2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFPP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes (0 points) <input type="radio"/> No (40 points)</p>	0																								
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]																									
<p>3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="2015"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 1-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="radio"/> 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="radio"/> N/A If N/A, please explain: <input style="width: 800px; height: 20px;" type="text"/></p>																									
<p>3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR</td> <td style="width: 5%;"></td> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="0.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">+</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="799,965.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="198,550.00"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="5,015,613.00"/></td> </tr> </table>	3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/>	3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="0.00"/>	3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/>	3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="799,965.00"/>	3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	-	\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="198,550.00"/>	3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="5,015,613.00"/>	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/>																						
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="0.00"/>																						
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="4,414,198.00"/>																						
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="799,965.00"/>																						
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	-	\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="198,550.00"/>																						
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year		\$	<input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="5,015,613.00"/>																						

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

Equipment replacements and major repairs during the year included new water champs, PH analyzers in acti-flo, biostyr blower rebuilds, ATAD blower rebuild, ATAD Jet pump motor rebuilds, acti-flo sand pump suction liners, Admin. building water chiller replacement, MCC-12 Air Conditioning replace ment, and other pump, valve and general equipment repairs,

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$

Please note: If you had a CWFPP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under Info in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

Yes

No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.

No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	Complete Facility Improvements Project.	35000000	2006
2	Interceptor Sewer improvements and addition of a river siphon crossing pipeline.	3200000	2005
3	Interceptor sewer system engineering evaluation and improvements. Marine manhole evaluation and rehabilitation.	4200000	2008
4	Planning, rehabilitating, or new construction at the treatment facility to provide effluent quality improvements for the proposed changes to the effluent Total Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids Permit limits.	0	2020
5	Explore the potential for adaptive management options to offset some of the phosphorus and solids limits.	0	2017

5. Financial Management General Comments

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. CMOM Program

1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your WPDES permit?

- Yes
- No

1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year?

- Yes (Continue with question 1)
- No (30 points) (Go to question 2)

1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.

Goals

Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:

Provide for the efficient operations, maintenance and capacity of the Districts sanitary sewerage system.

Organization

Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)?

- Ownership and governing body description
- Organizational chart
- Personnel and position descriptions
- Internal communication procedures
- Public information and education program

Legal Authority

Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)?

- Sewer use ordinance Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY)
- Pretreatment/industrial control Programs
- Fat, oil and grease control
- Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)
- Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)
- Private lateral inspections/repairs
- Service and management agreements

Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)

Design and Performance Provisions

How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly?

- State plumbing code
- DNR NR 110 standards
- Local municipal code requirements
- Construction, inspection, and testing
- Others:

Overflow Emergency Response Plan:

Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)?

- Alarm system and routine testing
- Emergency equipment
- Emergency procedures
- Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)

Capacity Assurance:

How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?

- Current and up-to-date sewer map

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

- Sewer system plans and specifications
- Manhole location map
- Lift station pump and wet well capacity information
- Lift station O&M manuals

Within your sewer system have you identified the following?

- Areas with flat sewers
- Areas with surcharging
- Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions
- Areas with chronic basement backups or SSOs
- Areas with excess debris, solids, or grease accumulation
- Areas with heavy root growth
- Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I)
- Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity
- Adequacy of capacity for new connections
- Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems
- Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed
- Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):
 - Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis
 - Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)
 - Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Plan (SECAP)
 - Lift Station Evaluation Report
 - Others:

0

Antecedent Moisture Modeling for I/I Analysis

2. Operation and Maintenance

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Root removal	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	<input type="text" value="100"/>	% of system/year
Smoke testing	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	<input type="text" value="100"/>	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	<input type="text" value="12"/>	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	<input type="text" value="10"/>	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of sewer lines rehabbed
Private sewer inspections	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Private sewer I/I removal	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of private services

Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

3. Performance Indicators

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

29.93	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
31.73	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
5.54	Miles of sanitary sewer
1	Number of lift stations
0	Number of lift station failures
0	Number of sewer pipe failures
0	Number of basement backup occurrences
0	Number of complaints
5.172	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
7.801	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
53.850	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

0.00	Lift station failures (failures/year)
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
0.00	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
0.00	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
1.5	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
10.4	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPORTED **

Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)
None reported			

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

I/I issues continue to be a concern for the District. The five member Communities of HOVMSD are continually working to reduce I/I

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

Peak flow events related to wet weather can create treatment plant operational challenges.

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

With the communities continuing efforts in reduction of I/I the District has seen a significant reduction in flows.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/6/2016

2015

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Planned and budgeted for cleaning and televising of Interceptor.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/3/2016

2015

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0031232

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	B	3	5	15
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	143
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.86				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)