#### **Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

#### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	X	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	X	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	3.9036	Х	224	Х	8.34	=	7,304
February	4.0743	Х	220	Х	8.34	=	7,468
March	6.2934	Х	185	Х	8.34	=	9,695
April	9.0679	Х	126	Х	8.34	=	9,563
May	7.4823	Х	135	Х	8.34	=	8,404
June	7.9720	Х	132	Х	8.34	=	8,785
July	4.8495	Х	207	Х	8.34	=	8,375
August	4.5034	Х	206	Х	8.34	=	7,742
September	4.7991	X	187	Х	8.34	=	7,473
October	5.1254	X	175	Х	8.34	=	7,471
November	4.4612	Х	191	Х	8.34	=	7,103
December	5.7915	Х	167	Х	8.34	=	8,069

- 2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	11.9	Х	90	=	10.71
		X	100	=	11.9
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	14651	X	90	II	13185.9
		X	100	=	14651

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

Total Number of Points					0
Points		0	0	0	0
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ich	2	1	3	2
December	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
January	1	0	0	0	0
	Influent		than 100% of	than 90% of design	
	of		Number of times flow was greater	Number of times (C)BOD was greater	Number of times

0

#### Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2014-12-17 Yes O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Yes Yes o Yes O No O No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your faclity? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks Yes 851360 gallons o No Holding Tanks Yes 5607400 gallons O No **Grease Traps** o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. No. A septage receiving station, with a storage tank, allows the District to pump the septage at a controlled rate to the influent channel, limiting impacts 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. 6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2014

7/7/2015

#### **Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

Yes

o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

The District accepts landfill leachate from permitted sites. It is received at the septage receiving station which affords the District the same protections as described in section 5.2.1

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

0

#### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)**

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or

0 10 11 11		222/ 5	ECCI I M III	Maralla 6	Daniel Lineth	OOO/ Dawnsit
Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
February	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
March	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
April	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
May	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
June	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
July	25	22.5	7	1	0	0
August	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
September	25	22.5	7	1	0	0
October	25	22.5	9	1	0	0
November	25	22.5	7	1	0	0
December	25	22.5	8	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					7	3
Exceedances					0	0
Points		0	0			
Total numb	per of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2	[ ] a	N/1 - L	Cali	la b.: a
۷.	FIOW	Meter	Call	bration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2014-12-17

O No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

No

If Yes, please explain:

### **Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality of Has the source of the metals been ide	or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was tentified?	aken?
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following information. If	f any information is incorrect, Contact Us.	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	A	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	
Sample Dates:	07/01/2014 - 09/30/2014	
Density:	0	
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	ATAD	
Process Description:	ATAD followed by SNDR followed by Storage Tank and Liquid Injection.	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	A	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F	
Sample Dates:	10/01/2014 - 12/31/2014	
Density:	0	
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	ATAD	
Process Description:	ATAD followed by SNDR followed by Storage Tank	
	and Liquid Injection.	
<ul> <li>4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not</li> <li>4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the p</li> <li>Yes (40 Points)</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, what action was taken?</li> </ul>	t meet the process criteria at the time of land application? process criteria not met at the time of land application?	on.
5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outf 5.1 Verify the following information. If	fall): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us.	
Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	09/30/2014	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		

## Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/7/2015 2014

	7/7/2015	2014
Outfall Number:	003	7
Method Date:	12/31/2014	7
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	INJ	7
Requirement Met:	Yes	7
Land Applied:	Yes	7 1
Limit (if applicable):		1
Results (if applicable):		
<ul> <li>S.2 Was the limit exceeded or the proce</li> <li>Yes (40 Points)</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, what action was taken?</li> </ul>	ess criteria not met at the time of land application?	
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current b facility have either on-site or off-site?  • >= 180 days (0 Points)  • 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  • 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  • 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  • < 90 days (40 Points)  • N/A (0 Points)  6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain v	piosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatm	nent 0
7. Issues		
7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids i	issues with treatment, use or overall management:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

# **Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)**

1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?  • Yes  • No  If No, please explain:  Could use more help/staff for:  1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  • Yes  • No  If No, please explain:	
<ul> <li>2. Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</li> <li>Yes (Continue with question 2)</li> <li>No (40 points)</li> <li>If No, please explain, then go to question 3:</li> <li>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> <li>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Paper file system</li> <li>Computer system</li> <li>Both paper and computer system</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> </ul>	0
<ul> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>Excellent</li> <li>Very good</li> <li>Good</li> <li>Fair</li> <li>Poor</li> <li>Describe your rating:</li> </ul>	

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

The District currently utilizes the OPS SYS Job Cal computerized maintenance management program to schedule and track routine preventative maintenance activities and corrective maintenance tasks. The District is also developing an Asset Management Program.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

### **Operator Certification and Education**

1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?  • Yes (0 points)  • No (20 points)  Name GLEN H GEURTS  Certification No: 15594	0
2. Certification Requirements 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.08 and 114.09, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what grade and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what grade and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?  Required:  4 - ACEGHIJ; A - PRIMARY SETTLING; C - ACTIVATED SLUDGE; E - DISINFECTION; G - MECHANICAL SLUDGE; H - FILTRATION; I - PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL; J - LABORATORY	
4 - ACEFGHIJ; T - B; 4 - A=PRIMARY SETTLING GRADE 4; C=ACTIVATED SLUDGE GRADE 4; E=DISINFECTION GRADE 4; F=ANAEROBIC DIGESTION GRADE 4; G=MECHANICAL SLUDGE GRADE 4; H=FILTRATION GRADE 4; I=PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL GRADE 4; J=LABORATORY GRADE 4; T - B=TRICKLING FILTER/RBC GRADE T	0
<ul><li>2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant?</li><li>Yes (0 points)</li><li>No (20 points)</li></ul>	
3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?  ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:	o
<ul> <li>4. Continuing Education Credits</li> <li>4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?</li> <li>Grades T, 1, and 2:</li> <li>Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.</li> <li>Grades 3 and 4:</li> <li>Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.</li> </ul>	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww	Trtmnt Fac		Last Updated: 7/7/2015	Reporting For
Financial Manageme	nt		7,7,2013	2027
Provider of Financial Into Name:     Telephone:     E-Mail Address     (optional):	formation Kevin Skogman, Director o 9207665731 kevin.skogman@hvmsd.org	f Operations an	d Maintenance (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
• Yes (0 points) • No (40 points)  If No, please explain:  2.2 When was the User C Year: 2014 • 0-2 years ago (0 points o 3 or more years ago (2 o N/A (private facility)  2.3 Did you have a specia	charge System or other revenues)  (a) points)  (a) account (e.g., CWFP require	ie source(s) last	t reviewed and/or re	evised?
plant and/or collection sys • Yes (0 points) • No (40 points)	ole for repairing or replacing e stem?	quipment for yo	ur wastewater treat	tment
3 Equipment Poplacemen	[PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACI	LITIES SHALL	COMPLETE QUEST	ION 3]
3. Equipment Replacemen 3.1 When was the Equipm Year: 2014  • 1-2 years ago (0 points o 3 or more years ago (2 o N/A  If N/A, please explain:	nent Replacement Fund last re	eviewed and/or	revised?	
3.2 Equipment Replaceme	ent Fund Activity			
<b>3.2.1 Ending Balance R</b> 3.2.2 Adjustments - if near	eported on Last Year's CM/ cessary (e.g. earned interest, al of excess funds, increase		\$ 3,876,344 \$ 0	0.00
3.2.3 Adjusted January	1st Beginning Balance		\$ 3,876,344	.00
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e earned interest, etc.) 3.2.5 Subtractions from F	und (e.g., equipment		\$ 792,011	
replacement, major repair 3.2.6.1 below*)	s - use description box	- :	\$ 254,157	.00
3.2.6 Ending Balance as CMAR Reporting Year	s of December 31st for		\$ 4.414.198	00

4,414,198.00

#### **Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

0

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

Equipment replacements and major repairs during the year included isolation valves rebuild on ATAD jet mix pumps, foam control pump rebuilds, SNDR's Jet pump casings and rotating assembles repairs, screening washer rebuild, water champs motor replacement, installation of gate actuator on pista grit channel 1, biostyr blower rebuilds, air conditioning units replacements, FOG analyzer, and other pump, valve and general equipment repairs.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

4,414,198.00

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under Info in the left-side

- 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?
- Yes

o No

If No, please explain.

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- o No

Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	Complete Facility Improvements Project.	35000000	2006
2	Interceptor Sewer improvements and addition of a river siphon crossing pipline.	3200000	2005
3	Interceptor sewer system engineering evaluation and improvements. Marine manhole evaluation and rehabilitation.	4200000	2008
	Planning, rehabilitating, or new construction at the treatment facility to provide effluent quality improvements for the proposed changes to the effluent Total Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids Permit limits.	0	2020

5. Financial Management General Comments

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015 **2014** 

### **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

I. CMOM Program
1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your
WPDES permit?
o Yes
• No
1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary
sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year?
Yes (Continue with question 1)
No (30 points) (Go to question 2)
1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.  ☐ Goals
Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:
Provide for the efficient operations, maintenance and capacity of the Districts sanitary seweage
system.
☑ Organization
Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)?
☑ Ownership and governing body description
☑ Organizational chart
☐ Personnel and position descriptions
☐ Internal communication procedures
Public information and education program
☐ Legal Authority
Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)?
☐ Sewer use ordinance Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2006-03-14
☐ Pretreatment/industrial control Programs
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)
☐ Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)
☐ Private lateral inspections/repairs
☐ Service and management agreements
☐ Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)
☐ Design and Performance Provisions
How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly?
LI State plumbing code
☑ DNR NR 110 standards
☐ Local municipal code requirements
□ Construction, inspection, and testing
□ Others:
☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan:
Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)?
☐ Alarm system and routine testing
☐ Emergency equipment
☐ Emergency procedures
☐ Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)
☐ Capacity Assurance:
How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?  ☑ Current and up-to-date sewer map
= -2 Site and up to date sewer map

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac	Last Updated: 7/7/2015	Reporting Fo 2014
<ul> <li>☑ Sewer system plans and specifications</li> <li>☑ Manhole location map</li> <li>☑ Lift station pump and wet well capacity information</li> <li>☑ Lift station O&amp;M manuals</li> <li>Within your sewer system have you identified the following?</li> <li>☑ Areas with flat sewers</li> <li>☑ Areas with surcharging</li> <li>☐ Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions</li> <li>☐ Areas with chronic basement backups or SSOs</li> <li>☐ Areas with excess debris, solids, or grease accumulation</li> <li>☐ Areas with heavy root growth</li> <li>☐ Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I)</li> <li>☐ Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity</li> <li>☐ Adequacy of capacity for new connections</li> <li>☐ Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems</li> <li>☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your O&amp;M/CMOM Program to ensure above complemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed</li> <li>☑ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):</li> <li>☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis</li> <li>☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)</li> <li>☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)</li> <li>☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report</li> <li>☑ Others:</li> </ul> Anticedent Moisture Modeling for I/I Analysis.	omponents are bein	<b>o</b>
Operation and Maintenance     2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program incomaintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount Cleaning	lude the following maintained.	
Root removal 0 % of system/year		
Flow monitoring 100 % of system/year		
Smoke testing 0 % of system/year		
Sewer line televising 0 % of system/year Manhole		
inspections 100 % of system/year		
Lift station O&M 12 # per L.S./year		
Manhole rehabilitation 22 % of manholes rehabb	ed	
Mainline rehabilitation 0 % of sewer lines rehab	obed	
Private sewer inspections 0 % of system/year		
Private sewer I/I removal 0 % of private services		
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection	on system below:	
3. Performance Indicators		

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 7/7/2015 2014 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 29.34 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 31.73 Annual average precipitation (for your location) 5.54 Miles of sanitary sewer Number of lift stations Number of lift station failures Number of sewer pipe failures Number of basement backup occurrences Number of complaints 5.697 Average daily flow in MGD (if available) 9.068 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) 26,228 Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: Lift station failures (failures/year) Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) Basement backups (number/sewer mile) Complaints (number/sewer mile) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPORTED \*\* Date Location Cause Estimated Volume (MG) None reported \*\* If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? Yes o No If Yes, please describe: I/I issues continue to be a concern for the District. The five member Communities of HOVMSD are continually working to reduce I/I. 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? Yes o No If Yes, please describe: Peak flow event related to wet weather can create treatment plant operational challenges. 5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

With the communities continuing efforts in reduction of I/I the District has seen a significant reduction in flows.

### Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

# 5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

The District plan for the interceptor sewer manhole repairs and lining of 22 manholes in 2014 is on going and is to be completed in 2015. Interceptor Televising of the Siphon Chamber from Manhole 39 to Manhole 38.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

#### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0031232

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	148
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4				

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

	7/7/2015	Reporting For <b>2014</b>
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:  Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Resolution Number:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F. Rega for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported):  Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. great required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)  G.P.A. = 4		

Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac

Last Updated:	Reporting For
7/7/2015	2014

	7/7/2013	2014
<ul><li>4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or of toxicity (WET) test?</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	chronic whole eff	fluent
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identi	fy and/or reduce	e
source(s) of toxicity?		
o Yes		
o No		
• N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	000/ 05	Tellione Monthly	Mandle	D	000/ 5
001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)	Average (IIIg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance	Limit Exceedance
January			4.4	WILLI a LITTIL		
January	30	27	11	1	0	0
February	30	27	9	1	0	0
March	30	27	15	1	0	0
April	30	27	15	1	0	0
May	30	27	13	1	0	0
June	30	27	9	1	0	0
July	30	27	14	1	0	0
August	30	27	17	1	0	0
September	30	27	22	1	0	0
October	30	27	23	1	0	0
November	30	27	21	1	0	0
December	30	27	15	1	0	0
		* Eqi	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceed	ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedance:	S				0	0
Points					0	0
Total Numl	ber of Points				2	0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

0

# **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)**

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for NH3

0 16 11 11										
Outfall No.	,	Weekly	Effluent		onthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average NH3	Average	Monthly		ermit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	Limit	NH3 Limit	Average		_imit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
			NH3		xceed			1997	for Week	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	10		.5045454	55	0					
February	10		.435		0					,
March	10		.5090909	09	0					
April	11		.4318181	32	0					
May	11		.5857142	36	0					
June	4.4		.6272727:	27	0					
July	4.4		.8347826	09	0					
August	4.4		.9952380	95	0					
September	4.4		.6090909	9	0					
October	18		.9272727:	27	0					3
November	18		.7095238	1	0					
December	18		1.760869.							
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	onthly av	era	ge:					10
Exceedance	s, Monthly	:								0
Points:										0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	veekly ave	raç	ge (whe	en there is	no month	ly averge	):	2.5
Exceedance										0
Points:										0
Total Numl	per of Po	ints								0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and gernate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (ma/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance						
1	0.2	1	0						
1	0.2	1	0						
1	0.3	1	0						
1	0.2	1	0						
1	0.2	1	0						
1	0.2	1	0						
1	0.4	1	0						
1	0.4	1	0						
1	0.5	1	0						
1	0.5	1	0						
1	0.5	1	0						
1	0.4	1	0						
e/yr	•	12							
xceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10						
Exceedances									
Points			0						
	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)  1	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)         Average phosphorus (mg/L)         Discharge with a Limit           1         0.2         1           1         0.2         1           1         0.3         1           1         0.2         1           1         0.2         1           1         0.2         1           1         0.4         1           1         0.4         1           1         0.5         1           1         0.5         1           1         0.4         1           e/yr         12           xceedance with 12 months of discharge:						

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

**Heart Of Valley Msd Ww Trtmnt Fac** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/7/2015

2014

#### **Biosolids Quality and Management**

Biosolids Use/Disposal     1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
□ Land applied under your permit	
☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids	
☐ Hauled to another permitted facility	
☐ Landfilled	
☐ Incinerated	
☐ Other	
NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.	
1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No.	Outfall No. 003 - Liquid Sludge																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	4.9			5.1			12.4			7.1				0	0
Cadmium		39	85	2.1			2.8			2.7			.74				0	0
Copper		1500	4300	468			622			1130			605				0	0
Lead		300	840	16			16.6			38.8			23.8				0	0
Mercury		17	57	.34			.76			.28			.33				0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	19.4			18.7			34.7			19.9			0		0
Nickel	336		420	24.5			26			57.3			28.2			0		0
Selenium	80		100	1.6			<1.6			<2.9			5			0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	670			915			1560			902				0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- 0 (0 Points)
- o 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- o No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- o N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- 0 (0 Points)
- (10 Points) 0 1
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)